United States District Court

Joseph P. Schnitt, pro se Plaintiff, FILED
A CIFRKS OFFICE

2006 SEP 21 PO 3778-RWZ

U.S. DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MASS

V,

Ma. Dept. Of Correction, et al Defendants.

Plaintiff's Motion for Clarification
Of Court's Order

The plaintiff, Joseph P. Schmitt, hereby moves this Court for clarification of its September 15, 2006 Order.

This court, in its order, stated, "But it is plaintiff who has filed duplicative actions," (Emphasis Addis by plaintiff), "Since there are two cases the only avenue available to defendants to raise the issue in each case was to file a motion in each.

Plaintiff respectfully moves this court for clarification as to the specific reasons this court is stating USDC civil actions. 05-10573-RWZ and 05-10573 are deplicative Actions.

Plaintiff states that CA. 05-10571-RWZ is based upon the facts that he was issued two seperate disciplinary reports by defendant Carter Thomas, CA. 05-10573-RWZ is based

1 of 2

upon the facts that plaintiff's personal property was taken from him and remains out of his possession without due process. Plaintiff fails to comprehend how these cases, based upon completely seperate issues, are, as this court states in its September 15, 2006 Order, "duplicative actions".

Dated: Sept. 20, 2006

Respectfully filed,

Joseph P. Schmitt, prose

Nemansket Corr. facility

30 Administration Road

Bridgewater, Ma. 02324

Certationte of Service

The undersigned swears under parms and penalties of perjury that on Sopt 30,2006 a true copy of this motion was mailed to counsel for detendants via (indigent mail pursuant to 103-CMR-481 Ennate Mail Pelicy) First Class US Mail.